



FIRST INFORMATION REPORT
(Under Sections 154 & 157 Cr.P.C)

1. District **Guntur** Police Station **ACB, Guntur** Year **2020** FIR No **08/RC0-ACB-GNT/ 2020** Date **15.09.2020**

2.(i) Act : the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 Sections: **13(2) r/w 13(1) (d) (ii) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988**

(ii) Act: Indian Penal Code. Sections: **409, 420 IPC r/w 120-B IPC.**

(iii) Other Acts & Sections: **- NIL -**

3. (a) Occurrence of offence: Day **Between June 2014 to December 2014**

Time Period: --- Time from: --- Time to: --

(b) Information received at the Police Station Date: **07.09.2020** Time: ----
15.09.2020 at 09.00 hours

(c) General Diary Reference: Entry No. (s) --- Time: --

4. Type of information: Written / Oral: **written report**

5. Place of occurrence: **Thulluru, Tadikonda and Mangalagiri Mandals, Guntur Dist.**

(a) Direction and Distance:

Beat No: **NIL**

(b) Address: **Guntur District**

(c) If outside the limits of this Police Station, then the name of concerned Police Station District :

6. Complainant / Informant : (a) Name : **Sri Komatla Srinivasa Swamy Reddy,**

(b) Father's / Husband's Name : **Jayarami Reddy**

(c) Date / Year of Birth: **43 yrs**

(d) Nationality : **Indian**

(e) Passport No: --

Date of Issue: --

Place of Issue: --

(f) Occupation: **Advocate.**

(g) Address: **Ongole, Prakasam District,**

7. Details of known / suspected / unknown accused with full particulars. (Attach separate sheet if necessary):

1. Sri Dammalapati Srinivas , formerly Addl Advocate General & Advocate General, A.P.
2. Smt. Dammalapati Nagarani,
3. Sri Nannapaneni Lakshmi Narayana,
4. Sri Nannapaneni Sita Rama Raju,
5. Sri Nannapaneni Krishna Murthy,
6. Sri Madala Vishnuvardhan Rao,
7. Sri Mukkapati Pattabhi Rama Rao,
8. Sri Yarlagadda Ritesh,
9. Ms. Yarlagadda Lakshmi,
10. Ms. Nuthalapati Sritanuja,
11. Ms. Nuthalapati Sribhuvana,
12. Sri Katragadda Srinivasa Rao, and
13. Ms. Vellanki Renuka Devi,

Physical features, deformities and other details of the suspect:

Sex	Date/Year of Birth	Build	Height in cms.	Complexion	Identification Marks(s)	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	
-	-	-	-	-	-	
Deformities/ Peculiarities	Teeth		Hair	Eyes	Habits(s)	Dress Habits
7.	8.		9.	10.	11.	12.
-	-		-	-	-	-

Languages Dialect	PLACE OF				
	Burn Mark	Leucoderma	Mole	Scar	Tatto
13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.
-	-	-	-	-	-

These fields will be entered only if complainant/informant gives any one or more particulars about the suspect. This will be only for the purpose of preliminary retrieval to assist I.O.

A data base created will subsequently link one suspect in several cases, if any

A comprehensive and complete data on all fields will again be prepared when any accused is arrested irrespective of previous suspicion.

8. Reasons for delay in reporting by the Complainant / Informant
-No delay-
9. Particulars of properties stolen/involved (Attach separate sheet, if Necessary):
-Not applicable-
10. Total value of properties stolen / involved: **-Not applicable-**
11. Inquest Report/U.D. Case No., if any: **-Not applicable-**
12. **Contents of the complaint / Statement of the complainant or informant (Attach separate sheets, if required) :**

It is humbly submitted that, on 07-09-2020, Sri Komatla Srinivasa Swamy Reddy S/o Jaya Rami Reddy, Age 43 yrs, Flat No.106, Sai Nivas Residency, D.No.7-10-22, Lawyerpetta, Ongole had submitted a petition to the DG, ACB, AP, Vijayawada leveling certain allegations against Sri Dammalapati Srinivas, formerly Addl. Advocate General & Advocate General, Govt. of AP and others.

In turn, the DG, ACB, AP, Vijayawada issued orders instructing Sri T.V.V.Pratap Kumar, DSP, ACB, Guntur vide C.No. **82/RE-VGT/2020-S17**, Dt.08.09.2020 of DG, ACB, AP, Vijayawada to conduct a Regular Enquiry and to submit report on the said allegations against Sri Dammalapati Srinivas, formerly Addl. Advocate General & Advocate General, Govt. of AP and others.

Consequently, Sri T.V.V.Pratap Kumar, DSP, ACB, Guntur conducted Regular enquiry into the allegations and during the preliminary enquiries a cognizable offence is made out against Sri Dammalapati Srinivas, formerly Addl. Advocate General & Advocate General, Govt. of AP and 12 others falling under the ambit of Sections 13(2) r/w. 13(1)(d)(ii) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and U/s. 409, 420 r/w 120-B IPC. The findings of the preliminary enquiries conducted by Sri T.V.V.Pratap Kumar, DSP, ACB, Guntur are as follows.

This is a case of abusing of official position by the then Addl. Advocate General, Andhra Pradesh, Sri Dammalapati Srinivas and thereby obtaining of pecuniary advantage/ valuable thing in the form of landed properties for his father-in-law, brother-in-law and his associates/acquaintances from June 2014 to December 2014 and later obtaining such pecuniary advantage for himself and his wife through purchase of some of these properties in the years 2015 and 2016. Those landed properties which were purchased during that period were located either in the Core Capital area or within the limits of CRDA but abutting the Core Capital Area.

On 07.09.2020, Sri Komatla Srinivasa Swamy Reddy, R/o. Ongole, Prakasam District, gave a complaint to the Director General, ACB, Andhra Pradesh against Sri Dammalapati Srinivas, former Advocate General of AP and others. It was alleged in the complaint that some of the public servants in high positions took advantage of their involvement in the decision-making process pertaining to the fixing of the exact location of the Core capital area and that such people purchased lands for themselves either through benamidars or through their family members and associates/acquaintances after sharing the privileged information about the core capital area that they were privy to, thereby enriching themselves. One of such high-ranking public servants was Sri Dammalapati Srinivas who was the Addl. Advocate General of State of Andhra Pradesh at that point of time.

It was mentioned in the complaint that till the draft of capital region area was approved by the Council of Ministers of the State of Andhra Pradesh, the details of that draft were kept secret from the public. Only in the month of December 2014, the Capital Region Authority Bill 2014 was presented and only thereafter the

names of the villages that would be included in the new capital region became known to the public.

The father-in-law of Sri Dammalapati Srinivas by name Sri Nannapaneni Lakshmi Narayana, the brother-in-law of Sri Dammalapati Srinivas namely Sri Nannapaneni Sita Rama Raju, and others namely Sri Nannapaneni Krishna Murthy, Sri Madala Vishnuvardhan Rao, Sri Mukkapati Pattabhi Rama Rao, Sri Yarlagadda Ritesh, Ms. Yarlagadda Lakshmi, Ms. Nuthalapati Sritanuja, Ms. Nuthalapati Sribhuvana, Sri Katragadda Srinivasa Rao, Ms. Vellanki Renuka Devi, etc. purchased large extent of land, most of which were acquired in the villages which were going to be included in the Amaravathi capital region and in the villages which were going to fall just outside the land pooling scheme area as well as in the villages adjacent to the arterial roads and the iconic bridge proposed to be built across the Krishna river. The complainant has also furnished the details pertaining to the purchases of such immovable properties in the Annexures to his complaint.

On receipt of the complaint, the Director General of ACB has ordered for a regular enquiry and directed the DSP, ACB, Guntur to conduct a regular enquiry into the allegations in the petition and submit the regular enquiry report.

The enquiry disclosed that as per GO.Rt.No.768 of Law(G) Dept., Andhra Pradesh dt.19.06.2014, Sri Dammalapati Srinivas was appointed as Addl. Advocate General by the Govt. of A.P. Subsequently, he has been appointed as Advocate General as per GO.Rt.No.165, Law Dept. dt.28.05.2016. Till May 2019, he continued as Advocate General, Andhra Pradesh, which is a constitutional post.

Between 13.08.2014 and 09.12.2014, Sri Nannapaneni Lakshminarayana, father-in-law of Sri Dammalapati Srinivas, purchased six immovable properties having total area of Ac.14.02½ cents of land in Tadikonda mandal, Amaravathi mandal and Krosuru Mandal of Guntur district which were located adjacent to the land which was going to be acquired under Land Pooling Scheme.

Between 19.06.2014 and 21.11.2014, the brother-in-law of Sri Dammalapati Srinivas by name Sri Nannapaneni Sitharama Raju purchased five immovable properties having total area of Ac.12.47½ cents. Out of these five properties, three properties were in Thullur and Mangalagiri Mandals of Guntur district and located in those villages where Land Pooling Scheme was going to be implemented. The other two properties were in Kanchikacherala village & Mandal of Krishna district and Tadikonda Mandal of Guntur district, and both these properties were adjacent to the villages where Land Pooling Scheme was going to be implemented. All

these properties which were purchased were going to fall within the Capital Region Development Authority limits.

Between 22.07.2014 to 24.12.2014, Sri Nannapaneni Krishna Murthy acquired sixteen immovable properties having total area of Ac.13.75 cents. Out of these sixteen properties, four immovable properties were located in Thullur Mandal of Guntur district where the Land Pooling Scheme was going to be implemented. The remaining 12 immovable properties were abutting the area which was going to fall within the Core Capital area and all these lands were going to fall in Capital Region Development Authority limits.

The above said lands were knowingly purchased with the clear knowledge that those lands would fall either within the Core Capital region or within Capital Region Development Authority limits and ultimately they would fetch high value after the declaration of the Core Capital region and the Capital Region Development Authority limits by the then Govt of A.P. Some of the lands purchased were going to be adjacent to the Core Capital region and such lands were purchased to avoid their acquisition under Land Pooling Scheme so that all those lands would be under the enjoyment of purchasers and would in turn pay rich dividends.

The area of the aforesaid immovable properties purchased by Sri Nannapaneni Lakshminarayana (father-in-law of Sri Dammalapati Srinivas), Sri Nannapaneni Sitharama Raju (brother-in-law of Sri Dammalapati Srinivas) and Sri Nannapaneni Krishna Murthy comes to Ac.40.25 cents out of which land of area Ac.11.18 cents was going to fall within the Core Capital and land of area Ac.29.07 cents was going to be located adjacent to the Core Capital Region but within the limits of Capital Region Development Authority.

The complaint also disclosed that the brother-in-law of Sri Dammalapati Srinivas namely Sri Nannapaneni Sitharama Raju sold away land of area Ac.2.00 cents in Malkapuram village of Thullur mandal of Guntur district situated in the Core Capital region to Sri Dammalapati Srinivas under registered sale deed dt.28.07.2015 vide document No.10584/2015 of SRO, Mangalagiri. Further Sri Nannapaneni Krishna Murthy sold Ac.1.59 cents of land in Thullur village of Guntur district to Sri Dammalapati Srinivas vide Doc.No.5428/2015 of SRO, Tadikonda on 29.07.2015. Besides, Sri Nannapaneni Krishna Murthy sold land of Ac.0.97 cents in Ananthavaram village of Thullur Mandal to Smt. Dammalapati Naga Rani, wife of Sri Dammalapati Srinivas vide Doc.No.3858/2016 of SRO, Tadikonda dt.03.06.2016. The complaint also revealed that although such lands falling either in Core Capital Region or within Capital Region Development Authority limits had seen huge appreciation in market rates after the public declaration of the Core

Capital Region by the then Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, the aforesaid lands were sold to Sri Dammalapati Srinivas and his wife at almost the same rates at which they were brought in the previous year, thereby causing huge amount of pecuniary gain to Sri Dammalapati Srinivas and his wife Smt. Dammalapati Nagarani

The enquiry disclosed that between June 2014 to December 2014, the public were speculating about the possible locations of the Capital Region for the State of Andhra Pradesh but some high-ranking public servants who were having close proximity to those who were ruling the State at that point of time were privy to the information about the exact location of the future capital of the State of Andhra Pradesh. One such officer is Sri Dammalapati Srinivas who was then functioning as Addl. Advocate General, Andhra Pradesh. It is the duty of the Addl. Advocate General not to disclose the official secrets which he has come to know in the course of discharge of his official duties or functions. But although his knowledge about the exact location of the future capital of the State of Andhra Pradesh was such an official secret, Sri Dammalapati Srinivas used such information for obtaining pecuniary advantage/ valuable thing in the form of highly valuable immovable properties for himself and his wife through his associate/acquaintance and close relative such as brother-in-law and thereby he abused his official position for obtaining such pecuniary advantage / valuable thing despite being a public servant.

The above mentioned facts disclose that Sri Dammalapati Srinivas has abused his official position despite being a public servant and he has obtained pecuniary advantage/ valuable thing for himself and for Smt. Dammalapati Nagarani, Sri Nannapaneni Lakshmi Narayana, Sri Nannapaneni Sita Rama Raju, Sri Nannapaneni Krishna Murthy, Sri Madala Vishnuvardhan Rao, Sri Mukkapati Pattabhi Rama Rao, Sri Yarlagadda Ritesh, Ms. Yarlagadda Lakshmi, Ms. Nuthalapati Sritanuja, Ms. Nuthalapati Sribhuvana, Sri Katragadda Srinivasa Rao and Ms. Vellanki Renuka Devi, etc. and Sri Dammalapati Srinivas thereby committed the offence punishable under section 13(2) r/w. 13(1)(d)(ii) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and U/s. 409 and 420 IPC. Besides committing the aforesaid offences, Sri Dammalapati Srinivas entered into criminal conspiracy with the purchasers Smt. Dammalapati Nagarani, Sri Nannapaneni Lakshmi Narayana, Sri Nannapaneni Sita Rama Raju, Sri Nannapaneni Krishna Murthy, Sri Madala Vishnuvardhan Rao, Sri Mukkapati Pattabhi Rama Rao, Sri Yarlagadda Ritesh, Ms. Yarlagadda Lakshmi, Ms. Nuthalapati Sritanuja, Ms. Nuthalapati Sribhuvana, Sri Katragadda Srinivasa Rao, Ms. Vellanki Renuka Devi and others who are all the beneficiaries and by their acts enriched themselves and all of them have also committed the offence punishable u/s 420, 120-B r/w 420 IPC.

Sri T.V.V.Pratap Kumar, DSP, ACB, Guntur submitted a preliminary enquiry report with the above findings and had requested the DG, ACB, AP, Vijayawada to accord permission to register a case under sections 13(2) r/w. 13(1)(d)(ii) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and U/s. 409, 420 r/w 120-B IPC against Sri Dammalapati Srinivas and u/s 420, 120-B r/w 420 IPC against 12 others.

The DG, ACB, AP, Vijayawada issued orders vide C.No. **82/RE-VGT/2020**, Dt.14.09.2020 of DG, ACB, AP, Vijayawada accordingly instructing Sri T.V.V.Pratap Kumar, DSP, ACB, Guntur to register a case (RCO) against Sri Dammalapati Srinivas, formerly Addl. Advocate General & Advocate General, Govt. of AP and others.

Accordingly, I registered the report dt. 05.09.2020 of the complainant Sri Komatla Srinivasa Swamy Reddy received on 07-09-20 and the above findings of the Enquiry Officer viz. Sri T.V.V.Pratap Kumar, DSP, ACB, Guntur, as a case in Cr. No. 08/RCO-ACB-GNT/2020 U/s 13(2) r/w 13(1)(d)(ii) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and U/s. 409 and 420 IPC r/w 120-B IPC against AO-1 and U/s 420, 120-B r/w 420 IPC against A-2 to A-13 of ACB, Guntur district on 15.09.2020 at 9.00 hours and submitted the original FIR along with original report of the complainant dt. 07.09.2020 to the Hon'ble Special Judge for SPE & ACB Cases, Vijayawada and copies to all officers concerned.

13. Action Taken: Since the above report reveals commission offence (s) U/s. as mentioned at item No.2. registered the case and took up investigation / directed **took-up investigation**

Rank.....**NIL**.....to take up the investigation.

Refused investigation / transferred to Police Station:
.....**NIL**.....on the point of jurisdiction.

F.I.R. read over to the Complainant / Informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and copy given to the Complainant / Informant free of cost.

Signature of the Officer-in-charge Police Station
14. Signature / Thumb impression Name: **T.V.V. Pratap Kumar**,
of the Complainant / Informant Rank: **Dy. Supdt. of Police**,
A.C.B, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

15. Date & Time of dispatch to the court: **15.09.2020 at 9.30 hours.**

Enclosures:

1. Original Report dt. 07.09.2020 (1-38 sheets) of the complainant is submitted to the Hon'ble Court.
2. Memo in C.No. **82/RE-VGT/2020**, Dt.14.09.2020 of DG, ACB, AP, Vijayawada